

## 2008–10–03

### Today procedure of gauging or Yang Mills theory

(Important in particle physics.)

Lecture 10:

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi_k \partial^\mu \varphi_k - m^2 \varphi_k \varphi_k)$$

In matrix notation:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T \partial^\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi} - m^2 \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T \boldsymbol{\varphi})$$

has  $O(n)$  symmetry:  $\boldsymbol{\varphi} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\varphi}' = O \boldsymbol{\varphi}$  where  $O$  is an  $O(n)$  matrix.

But  $\mathcal{L}$  is invariant only if all rotation angles are the same everywhere in spacetime. From a general relativistic point of view, this is a bit unnatural. It would be more natural if  $O = O(x, t)$  = arbitrary  $O(n)$  matrix function on spacetime. This can be arranged, by modifying the action. The procedure called “gauging the  $O(n)$  symmetry”, or “making the  $O(n)$  symmetry local”.

The mass term is already gauge invariant.

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}^T \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T O^T(x) O(x) \boldsymbol{\varphi} = \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T \boldsymbol{\varphi}$$

The problem is the kinetic term, the time derivative terms:

$$\partial_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rightarrow \partial_\mu (O(x) \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = O_{,\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi} + O \partial_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi}$$

Here we have a problem term,  $O_{,\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ .

Remedy: Introduce an  $n \times n$  matrix of vector fields  $B_\mu$ , transforming under  $O(n)$  gauge transformations as  $B_\mu \rightarrow B'_\mu = O B_\mu O^{-1} + O_{,\mu} O^{-1}$  and define covariant derivative  $D_\mu$ :

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi} \equiv (\partial_\mu - B_\mu) \boldsymbol{\varphi} \quad \Rightarrow \quad D_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi} \rightarrow O_{,\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi} + O \partial_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi} - (O B_\mu O^{-1} + O_{,\mu} O^{-1}) O \boldsymbol{\varphi} &= O (\partial_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi} - B_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi}) = \\ &= O D_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (D_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi})^T (D^\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi}) \text{ is gauge invariant}$$

So we have managed to make  $\mathcal{L}$  gauge invariant, now  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi})^T (D^\mu \boldsymbol{\varphi}) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \boldsymbol{\varphi}^T \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ .

Remark: In this example  $O^{-1} = O^T$  and  $0 = \partial(O O^{-1}) = O_{,\mu} O^{-1} + O (O^{-1})_{,\mu}$ .

$$(B'_\mu)^T = (O B_\mu O^{-1} + O_{,\mu} O^{-1})^T \quad \Rightarrow \quad (B'_\mu)^T = O B^T O^{-1} - O_{,\mu} O^{-1}$$

$B_\mu$  can be chosen antisymmetric  $n \times n$  matrix.

Remark: In this example the symmetry was  $O(n)$ , but the same procedure works for any continuous symmetry.

Example: Suppose  $\psi$  is a complex  $n$ -component vector field and  $\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \psi^\dagger \partial^\mu \psi - m^2 \psi^\dagger \psi \equiv \sum_k (\partial_\mu \psi_k^* \partial^\mu \psi_k - m^2 \psi_k^* \psi_k)$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is invariant under unitary transformations.

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi' = U \psi$$

where  $U$  is a unitary  $n \times n$  matrix,  $U^\dagger U = 1$ . Same procedure, gauging, applies in this case, except now the matrix  $B_\mu$  should be chosen antihermitian  $B_\mu^\dagger = -B_\mu$ .

Back to the orthogonal case. In  $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, \varphi_{,\mu}, B_\mu)$  the  $B_\mu$  occurs only undifferentiated, is therefore not dynamical. But it is possible to add gauge invariant kinetic energy terms to  $\mathcal{L}$ .

We had:  $\varphi \rightarrow O \varphi$ ,  $D_{,\mu} \varphi \rightarrow O D_{,\mu} \varphi = O D_\mu O^{-1}(O \varphi)$ . Hence  $D_\mu \rightarrow O D_\mu O^{-1}$ .

$$\left( D_\mu \rightarrow O D_\mu O^{-1} \rightarrow O O' D_\mu O'^{-1} O^{-1} = (O O') D_\mu (O O')^{-1} \right)$$

But note that the derivative operator here acts on  $O^{-1}$  and then further to the right.

$$\Rightarrow D_\mu D_\nu - D_\nu D_\mu \equiv [D_\mu, D_\nu] \rightarrow O [D_\mu, D_\nu] O^{-1}$$

but here no derivatives act on  $O^{-1}$ .

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] = [\partial_\mu - B_\mu, \partial_\nu - B_\nu] = -(\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu - [B_\mu, B_\nu]) = -G_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_\mu &= \text{gauge field potential} \\ G_{\mu\nu} &= \text{gauge field strength} \end{aligned}$$

Lorentz invariant object with  $B$  derivatives:

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu][D^\mu, D^\nu] = G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow O G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} O^{-1}$$

$\text{tr}([D_\mu, D_\nu][D^\mu, D^\nu])$  is gauge invariant and Lorentz invariant and can be added to the Lagrangian. Then finally one arrives at the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{8g^2} \text{tr}(G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}) + \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \varphi)^T (D^\mu \varphi) - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \varphi^T \varphi$$

This is called Lagrangian for an  $O(n)$  Yang-Mills theory.

Example:  $n = 2$ .

$$B_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix} A_\mu = E A_\mu$$

where  $E$  is the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , and  $A_\mu$  is a vector field.

$$G_{\mu\nu} = (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) E = F_{\mu\nu} E$$

$$\frac{1}{8g^2} \text{tr}(G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}) = -\frac{1}{4g^2} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)(\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu) = \frac{-1}{4g^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (D_\mu \varphi)^T (D^\mu \varphi) &= \frac{1}{2} (\varphi_{,\mu}^T + \varphi^T E A_\mu) \times (\varphi'^\mu - E A^\mu \varphi) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \varphi^T \partial^\mu \varphi - \varphi_{,\mu}^T E \varphi A^\mu + \frac{1}{2} A_\mu A^\mu \varphi^T \varphi \end{aligned}$$

This  $\mathcal{L}$  describes electrodynamics with charged scalar field instead of charged particles, and the electromagnetic current = the Nöther current of the  $O(2)$  symmetry.

Remember  $\delta\varphi = \varepsilon E\varphi$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, \varphi_{,\mu}, A_\mu)$  invariant:

$$j_\mu = \Pi_\mu E\varphi = (D_\mu\varphi)^T E\varphi = (\varphi_{,\mu}^T + \varphi^T E A_\mu) E\varphi =$$

$$= \varphi_{,\mu}^T E\varphi - \varphi^T \varphi A_\mu$$

$$\partial_\nu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_{\mu,\nu}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\mu}$$

$$\frac{1}{g^2} \partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = -j^\mu$$

More standard notation:  $F \rightarrow gF$ ,  $g \rightarrow e$ .

Definition: set of all  $O(n)$  transformation, i.e. set of all orthogonal  $n \times n$  matrices,  $O^T O = 1$  is called the orthogonal group in  $n$  dimensions, denoted  $O(n)$ .

Mathematical definition of a group:

A group  $G$  is a set with the properties

1. A composition law,  $\circ$ , which to any ordered pair of elements in  $G$ ,  $a \in G$ ,  $b \in G$  associate a third element  $c = a \circ b$  (the product of  $a$  and  $b$ ).
2.  $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ : the associative law.
3.  $G$  contains a unique unit element  $e$  such that  $\forall a \in G$ :  $e \circ a = a \circ e = a$ .
4.  $\forall a \in G$ ,  $\exists$  unique  $a^{-1} \in G$ , such that  $a \circ a^{-1} = a^{-1} \circ a = e$ .